CITY OF ORANGE, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2015

CITY OF ORANGE, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2015

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015	8
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs for the	10



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Orange, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 9, 2015.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Brea, California February 5, 2016



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Orange, California (the City)'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.





To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Orange, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 9, 2015, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Brea, California

February 5, 2016

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title		Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	-	Number	Number	Experience
Direct Programs: Community Development Block Grant*		14.218	B-13-MC-06-0507	\$ 1,353,316
Home Investment Partnership Program		14.239	M-10-MC-06-0544	35,199
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				1,388,515
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Program: Federal Asset Forfeiture Program*		16.922	CA0301500	724,619
Passed through the County of Orange Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant		16.738	UNKNOWN	8,552
Total U.S. Department of Justice				733,171
U.S. Department of Transportation Passed through the State of California Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction		20.205	HSIP4-12-016	26,663
Federal Bridge Preventive Maintenance Program		20.205	BPMPL-5073(077)	17,999
	Program Total:			44,662
Office of Traffic Safety: Highway Safety Improvement Program	Program Total:	20.205	HSIP4-12-016 HSIPL-5073(073) HSIPL-5073(076) HSIPL-5073(075) HSIPL-5073(074) HSIP5-12-013 HSIPL-5073(081) HSIPL-5073(078) HSIPL-5073(080)	16,254 20,910 14,146 24,754 7,655 1,683 1,683 2,138 1,683 90,906
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program 2014	r rogram rotal.	20.608	PT1431	8,320
Selective Hallic Ellioteenent Program 2014	Program Total:	20.600 20.616	PT1431 PT1431	9,122 2,606 20,048
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program 2015		20.616	PT1515	117,400
·	Program Total:	20.600	PT1515	22,362 139,762
Sobriety Checkpoint Grant Program	Program Total:	20.608	SC14294	25,011 25,011
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				320,389
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Passed through the County of Orange: Emergency Management Performance Grant		97.042	2013-0047	20,064
Passed through the City of Santa Ana: 2013 Urban Area Sec Initit. Trng	Program Total:	97.067	A-2011-225-10	1,111 1,111
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security				21,175
Total Federal Expenditures				\$ 2,463,250

^{*} Major Program

Note a: Refer to Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for a description of significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.

Note b: There were no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.

Note c: Total amount provided to subrecipients during the year was \$165,893

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

a. Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Orange, California, that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

b. Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements Type of auditors' report issued: Unmodified Opinion Internal control over financial reporting: Significant deficiencies identified? __yes <u>x</u>no Material weaknesses identified? x none reported __yes Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes x no Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Significant deficiencies identified? _yes <u>x</u>no Material weaknesses identified? __x_none reported ___yes Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified Opinion Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? _yes x no Identification of major programs: CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster 14.218 Community Development Block Grant Federal Asset Forfeiture Program 16.922 Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program \$300,000 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? __yes x no

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No matters were reported.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No matters were reported.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding 2014-001

Significant Deficiency:

Equipment and Real Property Management

Federal Program:

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Justice

CFDA number: 16.922

Title: Federal Asset Forfeiture Program

Grant number: CA0301500

Criteria or specified requirement:

The March 2014 Office of Budget and Management (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement states that equipment records acquired by Federal grants shall be adequately maintained. This includes documentation of Federal Source used and the Percentage of Federal Participation in the cost.

Condition:

During our examination of one of the equipment purchased with the federal grant, we noted that the Federal source as well as the Percentage of Federal Participation in the cost was not documented in the City's capital asset addition records.

Questioned Costs:

None

Context:

The condition was identified through the testing of the Equipment and Real Property Management requirements of the program.

Effect:

The City is not in compliance with the OMB Circular A-133 requirements with respect to equipment management. The City increases its risk of noncompliance with laws and procedures for equipment acquired under a Federal grant.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City include the Funding Source as well as the Percentage of Federal Participation of the acquisition cost on their Fixed Asset Addition forms as well as their Surplus/Disposition forms.

Management's Response:

Management concurs with the finding. The City has implemented procedures to include the Funding Source and the Percentage of Federal Participation in their capital asset records.