

- David E. Hale, CPA, CFP Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- Donald G. Slater, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
  Gary A. Cates, CPA
- · Deborah A. Harper, CPA
  - · Michael D. Mangold, CPA · David S. Myers, CPA
- Susan F. Matz, CPA Shelly K. Jackley, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditina Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Orange, California, (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 11, 2013.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the following deficiencies to be material weaknesses:

### **Deposits Payable Reconciliation**

During our test work we noted Deposits Payable accounts relating to capital improvement projects that have not been properly reconciled for an extended period of time. We noted that there is uncertainty whether these are actual deposits that will be returned to developers or if they should be recognized as revenue of the City. We recommend that management properly reconcile the deposits payable accounts each year, and determine whether these amounts need to be returned to developers or need to be written off and recognized as revenue.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Orange, California

**Management Response**: The City is continuing to reconcile deposits payable and identify the proper disposition of the described amounts. We anticipate the account will be reconciled by the end of fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

## Restatements to Net Position and Fund Balance

Restatements to Net Position were caused by corrections of prior year capitalization of governmental assets, to record deferred promissory notes issued in prior years but not recorded, and to correct the duplicate posting of an invoice in the prior year. Restatements to Fund Balance were caused by corrections to the classification of unavailable revenue in the prior year which should have been posted as revenue, and to correct the duplicate posting on an invoice in the prior year.

**Management Response**: The Restatements to both Net Position and to Fund Balance were due to non-recurring incidents and interpretations of transactions at the time of occurrence. Controls have been strengthened to identify issues and properly classify the accounts on a go-forward basis.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

# City's Response to Findings

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Brea, California December 11, 2013

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP